COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 258-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 540

Subject: Authorizes counties or a city not within a county to elect between two different

juror compensation schemes.

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 22, 2001

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Counties	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)				
City	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)				
Local Government	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)				

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the City of St. Louis assume the fiscal impact of the proposal would increase net jury costs to the City from \$696,643 under the current system adopted July 1, 2000 to \$1,419,770 if the city adopted the optional payment plan authorized in the proposal.

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator assume the proposed legislation would give counties and the City of St. Louis the option of increasing their juror per diem to \$50.00 per day for jurors who serve three consecutive days or more.

If 64 counties paid the \$50/day for three days one time in a year, the cost would exceed \$100,000 $(\$44 \times 36 = \$1,584)$ (\\$100,000 \div \\$1,584 = 64). However, because the \\$50 per diem is optional, we have no way of estimating what the additional costs to the counties might actually be.

There would be no additional costs to the state.

Oversight assumes the cost to local governments which might adopt the optional payment plan can not be estimated.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUNDS	(10 1410.)		
Counties			
Cost - Jury fees	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)
City Cost - Jury fees	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)
NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)	(UNKNOWN)

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

SS:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 258-01 Bill No. HB 540 Page 3 of 3 February 26, 2001

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would authorize counties or a city not within a county to elect between two different juror compensation schemes. The first plan is equivalent to the current jury payment system adopted July 1, 2001. The optional plan allows the counties or a city not within a county to pay jurors who serve over three days \$50 per day to be paid from county or city funds.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

City of St. Louis
Office of State Courts Administrator

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

February 26, 2001